Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43646 City of Mertens RN101407815 Docket No. 2012-0452-MWD-E

Order Type:

Findings Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

Three or more enforcement actions (NOVs, orders, etc.) over the prior five year period for the same violation(s).

Media:

MWD

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

City of Mertens, approximately 1,300 feet east of Farm-to-Market ("FM") Road 308, approximately 3,400 feet southeast of the intersection of FM Road 308 and State Highway 22, Hill County

Type of Operation:

Wastewater treatment facility

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: August 17, 2012

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$1,800

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$0 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$1,800 Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A **SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43646 City of Mertens RN101407815 Docket No. 2012-0452-MWD-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: February 1, 2012 Date(s) of NOE(s): February 10, 2012

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to timely submit effluent monitoring results at the intervals specified in the permit [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 305.125(1) and (17) and 319.7(d), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0013271001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1].
- 2. Failed to timely submit the annual sludge report [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and (17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0013271001, Sludge Provisions].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

On March 5, 2012, Respondent submitted the discharge monitoring reports ("DMRs") for the monitoring periods ending August 31, 2011; September 30, 2011; October 31, 2011; and November 30, 2011, and the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2011.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days, update the Facility's operational guidance and conduct employee training to ensure that self-reporting requirements are properly accomplished, including the timely submitted of signed and certified monthly DMRs and annual sludge reports; and
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43646 City of Mertens RN101407815 Docket No. 2012-0452-MWD-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Jacquelyn Green, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-2587; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Barbara S. Crass, Mayor Pro-Tem, City of Mertens, P.O. Box 26,

Mertens, Texas 76666

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 13-Feb-2012 Assigned Screening 23-Feb-2012 PCW 10-May-2012 EPA Due RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent City of Mertens Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101407815 Facility/Site Region 9-Waco Major/Minor Source Minor **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 43646 Docket No. 2012-0452-MWD-E No. of Violations 2 Order Type Findings Media Program(s) Water Quality Government/Non-Profit Yes Enf. Coordinator Jacquelyn Green Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$1,250 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 44.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$550 Enhancement for four NOVs with same/similar violations, two months of Notes self-reported effluent violations, and one order with denial of liability. 0.0% Enhancement Culpability No Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0 Total EB Amounts Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$1,800 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Notes Final Penalty Amount \$1,800 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$1,800

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

No deferral is recommended for Findings Orders.

0.0%

Reduction Adjustment

\$0

\$1,800

DEFERRAL

Notes

PCW

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Respondent City of Mertens Case ID No. 43646

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101407815

Media [Statute] Water Quality
Enf. Coordinator Jacquelyn Green

Compliance History Workshy

<u>_c</u>	omponent	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
	NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	4	20%
	:	Other written NOVs	2	4%
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
200 A	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
		Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
		Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
Repe	at Violator (aantana (Sub	total 2) [
L.	No		centaye (SUD	LULAI 3) L
Com	pliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
	Average Pe	erformer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
Comj	oliance Histo	ory Summary		
	Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for four NOVs with same/similar violations, two months of self-rep violations, and one order with denial of liability.	orted effluent	
		Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2.	3, & 7)

Screening Date		Docket No. 2012-0452-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent		Policy Revision	on 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No.		PCW R	evision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101407815		
Media [Statute]	Water Quality		
Enf. Coordinator			-
Violation Number	1		200
m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1	20 Toy Admin Codo S	$\frac{1}{2}$ 305.125(1) and (17) and 319.7(d), and Texas Pollutant	
Rule Cite(s)		System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0013271001, Monitoring	
	1	and Reporting Requirements No. 1	
		and Reporting Requirements No. 1	
	Failed to timely submit	effluent monitoring results at the intervals specified in the	
	13 0.000 0	d during a record review conducted on February 1, 2012.	
Violation Description		ge monitoring reports ("DMRs") were not submitted for the	
		ding August 31, 2011; September 30, 2011; October 31,	
		per 30, 2011, by the 20th day of the following month.	***************************************
		Base Penalty	\$25,000
		1x1 1/2 1 2	
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human Hea Harn		
Release			
OR Actua	I The second sec		
Potentia		Percent 0.0%	
Foterida		Percent 0.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Modera	ate Minor	
1 districación	Tilajor Picacre	Percent 1.0%	
L-Allerance	<u> </u>	Percent 1.0%	
The state of the s			
Matrix	22.5		,
Notes	At least 70%	of the rule requirement was met.	
		Adjustment \$24,750	A LABORATOR A LABO
		Г	\$250
		L	\$2JU
Violation Events			Acceptance
			100
Number of	Violation Events 4	156 Number of violation days	atento po
***************************************			· www.
1	daily		***************************************
	weekly		and the same of th
	monthly		
mark only one with an x	quarterly	Violation Base Penalty	\$1,000
The series	semiannual		
	annual		L. L
	single event x		
	-		all the state of t
	Four single events	are recommended, one for each DMR.	

Good Faith Efforts to Com	***************************************	.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before N	IOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary		
	Ordinary		
	N/A <u>×</u>	(mark with x)	700
	The Per	condent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes	this violation.	
		Cura violagori;	
Accounting to the second secon	Landoning		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Violation Subtotal	\$1,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit Test	
Leonoma Denemi (ED) 101	CIII3 VIVIAUVII	Statutory Limit rest	
Estimat	ted EB Amount	\$20 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,440
and the state of t			
	This	violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,440

Media Violation No.	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Fioletton no.	_					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or s						
Delaved Costs Equipment		- 1		0.00	\$0	\$0 T	\$0
Buildings		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Land				0.00	<u>\$0</u>	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$250	20-Sep-2011	4-Feb-2013	1.38	\$17	n/a	\$17
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	Bergerand and a section of the secti						
Permit Costs	L			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	Harris Control of the		acility's operation	0.46 onal gui	\$2 dance and to cond	n/a n/a luct employee traini date the first DMR v	<u>\$2</u> ng (\$250), and
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co to prepare a dates are t	st to update the Fa and submit the DM he estimated date	acility's operation Rs (\$25 per DM of compliance t	0,46 onal gui IR). Da to cond submi	\$2 dance and to cond te required is the uct employee trair tted.	n/a luct employee traini	\$2 ng (\$250), and vas due. Final e DMRs were
Other (as needed)	Estimated co to prepare a dates are t	st to update the Fa and submit the DM he estimated date	acility's operation Rs (\$25 per DM of compliance t	0,46 onal gui IR). Da to cond submi	\$2 dance and to cond te required is the uct employee trair tted.	n/a luct employee traini date the first DMR v ning and the date th	\$2 ng (\$250), and vas due. Final e DMRs were
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated co to prepare a dates are t	st to update the Fa and submit the DM he estimated date	acility's operation Rs (\$25 per DM of compliance t	0.46 onal gui IR). Da to cond submi	\$2 dance and to cond te required is the uct employee trair tted. 19 item (except 1 \$0 \$0	n/a luct employee traini date the first DMR v ning and the date th for one-time avoic \$0 \$0	\$2 ng (\$250), and vas due. Final e DMRs were led costs)
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co to prepare a dates are t	st to update the Fa and submit the DM he estimated date	acility's operation Rs (\$25 per DM of compliance t	0.46 onal gui R). Da to cond submi enterir 0.00 0.00	\$2 dance and to cond te required is the uct employee train tted. 19 item (except f \$0 \$0	n/a luct employee traini date the first DMR v ning and the date th for one-time avoic \$0	\$2 ng (\$250), and vas due. Final e DMRs were led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co to prepare a dates are t	st to update the Fa and submit the DM he estimated date	acility's operation Rs (\$25 per DM of compliance t	0.46 pnal gui (R). Da to cond submi enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$2 dance and to cond te required is the uct employee train tted. **g item (except) **0 **0 **0 **0	n/a luct employee traini date the first DMR v hing and the date th for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$2 ng (\$250), and vas due. Final e DMRs were led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co to prepare a dates are t	st to update the Fa and submit the DM he estimated date	acility's operation Rs (\$25 per DM of compliance t	0.46 pnal gui R). Da co cond submi enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$2 dance and to cond te required is the uct employee trair tted. 90 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a luct employee training date the first DMR value and the date the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$2 ng (\$250), and vas due. Final e DMRs were led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co to prepare a dates are t	st to update the Fa and submit the DM he estimated date	acility's operation Rs (\$25 per DM of compliance t	0.46 nnal gui R). Da co cond submi enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$2 dance and to cond te required is the uct employee train tted. s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a luct employee trainidate the first DMR voling and the date the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$2 ng (\$250), and vas due. Final e DMRs were led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co to prepare a dates are t	st to update the Fa and submit the DM he estimated date	acility's operation Rs (\$25 per DM of compliance t	0.46 pnal gui R). Da co cond submi enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$2 dance and to cond te required is the uct employee trair tted. 90 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a luct employee training date the first DMR value and the date the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$2 ng (\$250), and vas due. Final e DMRs were led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.		evision 3 (September 2011)
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		W Revision August 3, 2011
Media [Statute]		
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number	[22	
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin Code § 305.125(1) and (17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ001327100 Sludge Provisions	
Violation Description	Failed to timely submit the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2011, by September 1, 2011, as documented during a record review conducted on February 1, 2012.	I
	Base Penal	ty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix Harm	of external different
Release		3
OR Actual Potential		en constitue de la constitue d
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor x Percent 1.0%	
	X Percent 1.0%	
Matrix		
Notes	At least 70% of the rule requirement was met.	
	пишниција в 25. година на предостава на принција на предостава и принција и на принција и на принција и на при	1111
	Adjustment \$24,7	50
		\$250
Violation Events		
Number of	Violation Events 1 175 Number of violation days	
mark only one	daily weekly monthly	
with an x	quarterly violation Base Penal annual single event x	ty \$250]
	One single event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
-	N/A x (mark with x)	4
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
· von sucheroreno	Violation Subtot	al \$250
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
	ted EB Amount \$1 Violation Final Penalty Tot	al \$360
ESUMA	Benerities to the state of the	
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limit	s) \$360

		:onomic			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Respondent	City of Mertens	5					
Case ID No.	43646						
ea, Ent. Reference No.	RN101407815						
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	_					r o	
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$50	1 2 2011					
		1-Sep-2011 st to submit the a	5-Mar-2012 nnual sludge re	0.51	I \$1 or the monitoring p	l n/a l period ending July 3:	\$1 1, 2011. Date
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel respection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co required is	st to submit the a s the date the ann	nnual sludge re nual sludge rep	enterii 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	or the monitoring p due and the final so so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	period ending July 3: date is the date of connectime avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	1, 2011. Date compliance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co required is	st to submit the a s the date the ann	nnual sludge re nual sludge rep	enterii 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	or the monitoring p due and the final so so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	period ending July 3: date is the date of control for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	1, 2011. Date compliance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co required is	st to submit the a s the date the ann	nnual sludge re nual sludge rep	enterii 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	or the monitoring p due and the final so so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	period ending July 3: date is the date of connectime avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	1, 2011. Date compliance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel respection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co required is	st to submit the a s the date the ann	nnual sludge re nual sludge rep	enterii 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	or the monitoring p due and the final so so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	period ending July 3: date is the date of control for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	1, 2011. Date compliance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History Report

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN600737944

City of Mertens

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 2.93

Regulated Entity:

RN101407815

CITY OF MERTENS

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 2.93

ID Number(s):

UTILITIES

REGISTRATION

P0728

WASTEWATER

PERMIT

WQ0013271001

WASTEWATER

EPA ID

approximately 1300 feet east of Farm-to-Market Road 308, approximately 3400 feet southeast of the

TX0100463

WQ0013271001

Location:

WASTEWATER LICENSING

LICENSE

intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 308 and State Highway 22 in Hill County, Mertens Texas

TCEQ Region:

REGION 09 - WACO

Date Compliance History Prepared:

February 29, 2012

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period:

February 28, 2007 to February 29, 2012

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Jacquelyn Green

239 - 2587

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3. If YES, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4. If YES, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5. If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

6. Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator:

NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government. A.

Effective Date: 11/09/2007

ADMINORDER 2007-0331-MWD-E

Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rqmt Prov: Self-reporting Requirements PERMIT

Description: Failure to comply with permit effluent limits as documented by a TCEQ record review of

self-reported data.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Rqmt Prov: Self-reporting Requirements PERMIT

Description: Failure to submit monitoring results at the intervals specified in the permit as documented by

a TCEQ record review.

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

Chronic excessive emissions events.

D The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	02/22/2008	(613979)	26	07/07/2010	(845332)
2	01/11/2008	(613984)	27	07/07/2010	(845333)
3	03/26/2007	(627841)	28	07/07/2010	(845334)
4	04/24/2007	(627842)	29	07/07/2010	(845335)
5	05/22/2007	(627843)	30	07/07/2010	(845336)
6	06/25/2007	(627844)	31	07/07/2010	(845337)
7	07/23/2007	(627845)	32	07/07/2010	(845338)
8	08/23/2007	(627846)	33	07/07/2010	(845339)
9	09/25/2007	(627847)	34	07/07/2010	(845340)
10	10/26/2007	(627849)	35	07/07/2010	(845341)
11	11/27/2007	(627851)	36	07/07/2010	(845342)
12	12/19/2007	(627853)	37	07/07/2010	(845343)
13	02/21/2008	(676246)	38	07/07/2010	(847530)
14	03/20/2008	(694617)	39	04/20/2011	(929254)
15	04/22/2008	(694618)	40	04/20/2011	(929255)
16	05/22/2008	(694619)	41	04/20/2011	(929256)
17	01/24/2008	(694620)		07/07/2010	(929257)
18	12/29/2008	(706849)		04/06/2011	(929258)
19	02/16/2009	(726045)		04/06/2011	(929259)
20	04/14/2009	(739619)		04/06/2011	(929260)
21	06/29/2009	(745732)		05/18/2011	(939460)
22	05/17/2010	(827918)		07/06/2011	(940851)
23	07/07/2010	(845329)		06/20/2011	(946865)
24	07/07/2010	(845330)		07/27/2011	(954133)
25	07/07/2010	(845331)		08/18/2011	(960706)
			51	02/10/2012	(983092)

CN600737944 Date: 04/30/2007 (627843)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 05/31/2007 CN600737944 (627844)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 12/29/2008 (706849)CN600737944

Self Report? Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

Effluent Limitations; No. 2, Pg. 2 PERMIT

Failure by the permittee to maintain a pH between 6.0 s.u. and 9.0 s.u. Description:

Classification: Moderate Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

> 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(11)(B) Sludge Provisions; Section III G, Pg. 22 PERMIT

Failure to submit an Annual Sludge Report to the TCEQ by September 1 of each Description:

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(5) Operational Requirements; No. 1, Pg. 9 PERMIT

Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and Description:

disposal are properly operated and maintained.

Self Report? Classification: NO Minor

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5) Operational Requirements; No. 1, Pg. 9 PERMIT

Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and Description:

disposal are properly operated and maintained.

Classification: Self Report? NO Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(d)

Reporting Requirements; No. 1, Pg. 4 PERMIT

Description: Failure by the permittee to submit monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports to the

TCEQ by the 20th day of each month.

Citation:

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(a) Monitoring Requirements; No. 3c, Pg. 5 PERMIT

Description: Failure by the permittee to maintain adequate records of monitoring activities.

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.11(d) Monitoring Requirements; No. 2, Pg. 4 PERMIT

Failure to comply with procedures specified in 30 TAC 319.11 - 319.12. Description:

CN600737944 Date: 04/13/2009 (739619)

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Citation: Effluent Limitations; No. 2, Pg. 2 PERMIT

Failure by the permittee to maintain a pH between 6.0 s.u. and 9.0 s.u. Description:

Classification: Moderate Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(11)(B) Sludge Provisions; Section III G, Pg. 22 PERMIT

Failure to submit an Annual Sludge Report to the TCEQ by September 1 of each Description:

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(d)

Reporting Requirements; No. 1, Pg. 4 PERMIT

Description: Failure by the permittee to submit monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports to the

TCEQ by the 20th day of each month.

NO Self Report? Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

> 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(a) Monitoring Requirements; No. 3c, Pg. 5 PERMIT

Description: Failure by the permittee to maintain adequate records of monitoring activities.

Self Report? Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.11(d) Monitoring Requirements; No. 2, Pg. 4 PERMIT

Description: Failure to comply with procedures specified in 30 TAC 319.11 - 319.12.

Date: 05/17/2010 (827918)CN600737944

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

Self Report? Classification: NO Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17) NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE Description:

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE Description: Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17) Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17) NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE Description:

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE Description:

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17) Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17) Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE Self Report? Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 07/06/2011

(940851)

CN600737944

Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NO

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report?

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description: Self Report?

NO

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

N/A

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. H.

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF MERTENS	§	
RN101407815	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-0452-MWD-E

	At its			agenda, t	he Te	exas Commis	ssior	on o	Environn	nental Qua	ality
("the	Commission"	or	"TCEQ")	considered	this	agreement	of	the	parties,	resolving	an
enforc	ement action i	rega	rding the	City of Mer	tens	("the Respo	ndei	nt")	under the	e authorit	y of
TEX. V	VATER CODE ch	s. 7	and 26. T	he Executive	e Dire	ctor of the T	CEC), th	rough the	Enforcen	nent
Divisio	on, and the Res	pon	dent prese	ented this ag	reem	ent to the Co	mm	issic	n.		

The Respondent understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the Respondent agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the Respondent.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located approximately 1,300 feet east of Farm-to-Market Road 308, approximately 3,400 feet southeast of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 308 and State Highway 22 in Hill County, Texas (the "Facility").

- 2. The Facility adjoins, is contiguous with, surrounds, or is near or adjacent to water in the state as defined in Tex. WATER CODE § 26.001(5).
- 3. During a record review conducted on February 1, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent failed to timely submit effluent monitoring results at the intervals specified in the permit for the monitoring periods ending August 31, 2011; September 30, 2011; October 31, 2011; and November 30, 2011, by the 20th day of the following month.
- 4. During a record review conducted on February 1, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent failed to timely submit the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2011, by September 1, 2011.
- 5. The Respondent received notice of the violations on February 17, 2012.
- 6. The Executive Director recognizes that on March 5, 2012, the Respondent submitted the discharge monitoring reports ("DMRs") for the monitoring periods ending August 31, 2011; September 30, 2011; October 31, 2011; and November 30, 2011, and the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2011.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 3, the Respondent failed to timely submit effluent monitoring results at the intervals specified in the permit, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and (17) and 319.7(d), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0013271001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1.
- 3. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 4, the Respondent failed to timely submit the annual sludge report, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and (17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0013271001, Sludge Provisions.
- 4. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the Respondent for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 5. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars (\$1,800) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053. The Respondent has paid the One Thousand Eight Hundred Dollar (\$1,800) administrative penalty.

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars (\$1,800) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 5 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Mertens, Docket No. 2012-0452-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, update the Facility's operational guidance and conduct employee training to ensure that self-reporting requirements are properly accomplished, including the timely submitted of signed and certified monthly DMRs and annual sludge reports, in accordance with TPDES Permit No. WQ0013271001.
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

City of Mertens DOCKET NO. 2012-0452-MWD-E Page 4

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager Waco Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 6801 Sanger Avenue, Suite 2500 Waco, Texas 76710-7826

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the Respondent if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 7. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

City of Mertens DOCKET NO. 2012-0452-MWD-E Page 5

- 8. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 9. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the third day after the mailing date, as provided by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142.

City of Mertens DOCKET NO. 2012-0452-MWD-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	1
For the Executive Director	9/4/12
For the Executive Director	Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the City of Mertens. I am authorized to agree to the affective Mertens, and do agree to the specified terms and TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penaltrepresentation.	attached Agreed Order on behalf of the City of d conditions. I further acknowledge that the

I understand that by entering into this Agreed Order, the City of Mertens waives certain procedural rights, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations addressed by this Agreed Order, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and the right to appeal. I agree to the terms of the Agreed Order in lieu of an evidentiary hearing. This Agreed Order constitutes full and final adjudication by the Commission of the violations set forth in this Agreed Order.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions:
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

Barbara S. Crass

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of

City of Mertens

Mayer Pro-Tem

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section III, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.